Interactions Between TCP/IP Protocol Stack and Long-Reach PON

Participants: Xiuchao Wu (xw2@cs.ucc.ie)^[a], Jerome Arokkiam (jerome@4c.ucc.ie)^[a], and Pedro Alvarez (pinheirp@tcd.ie)^[b] Pls: Kenneth N. Brown^[a], Cormac J. Sreenan^[a], and Marco Ruffini^[b] [a] 4C and MISL, Computer Science Department, University College Cork [b] Trinity College Dublin



Analysis vs. Testbed vs. Simulation

Analysis

□ Too complex to model LR-PON and TCP/IP protocol stack together Analysis with some over-simplified model is questionable

Testbed

LR-PON hardware components are still under development.

□ Too expensive to build one LR-PON with one thousand ONUs.

Simulation

The credibility is lower than testbed

□ Simulation speed might be too slow for a 10Gbps LR-PON with 1000 ONUs.

Our Choice

□ Simulation is selected since it is the only feasible choice in current phase Improving simulation speed through the justified simplifications in physical layer and the heavily hacked code.

Performance of LR-PON Simulator

Computer Configuration

CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-1410 0 @ 2.80GHz (4 cores). Cache: 10240 KB; Note that just one core is used in the simulations. Memory: 48GB

Simulation Setup

□ XG-PON1 is simulated (DS: 10G; US: 2.5G). UDP traffics are simulated for both directions (the upstream load is 1/4 of the downstream). Downstream load varies from 150M to 10G. The number of ONUs varies from 25 to 1000.



Key Messages

□ It takes less than 200s to simulate one second even when there are 1000 ONUs and the network load is 10Gbps.

Under the hardest scenario, the amount of consumed memory is just slightly higher than 5GBytes



A LR-PON simulator, that can simulate a 1000-ONUs 10-Gbps network with reasonable speed, has been developed as a platform for future network research.

Motivations

- LR-PON has the potential of providing abundant bandwidth to large number of users with low cost.
- □ However, the users care about the Quality of Service experienced by network applications.
- Thus, it is worthwhile to study the interactions among LR-PON and the protocols / algorithms used by TCP/IP protocol stack

Application
Transport
Internet
Data Link
Physical

Transport Protocols on LR-PON

TCP on LR-PON

Due to the large number of ONUs and QoS support, Internet traffic tends to experience dynamic bandwidth, long delay, and large jitter. □ It is worthwhile to study TCP performance on LR-PON, especially the interactions between TCP and DBA/scheduling algorithms of LR-PON. Due to high bandwidth provided by LR-PON, it is worthwhile to study high-speed TCP variants on LR-PON, such as Cubic-TCP distributed with Linux and Compound-TCP proposed by Microsoft

Multipath TCP on LR-PON + Wireless Networks

DMP-TCP is proposed to improve the performance of mobile devices through multiple wireless networks.

□ Since various wireless networks will be attached to the same LR-PONs, it is valuable to study MP-TCP under this scenario.

Bandwidth Allocation and Quality of Service

Why Bandwidth Allocation?

Bandwidth Allocation is essential, for the point-to-multipoint LR-PON network which shares the same bandwidth among several users and services (Wi-Fi, Wi-MAX, Mobile Network, Home users, Enterprise, IPTV, VoIP, etc.)

Research Challenges

However, the challenge of high-speed (10Gbps/2.5Gbps) and long-reaching (100Km) PON is to support different QoS associated with a variety of end-user services through a proper BW allocation mechanism, so that, □ While the different type of services share the same bandwidth through the LR-PON MAC layer (using TDM) QoS in each service is not compromised in the layers above MAC layer, especially before OLT and after ONU, where the Core and end users are, respectively.



Transport

Internet

Data Link

Band					
				_	Prediction Oriented
DBA COMPUTATION	SCHEDULING FRAMEWORK		GRANT SIZING		- Linear Constant - Constant Credit
Centralised	- Onlin Offlin	ne ne	- Fixed Dynamic		- Elastic Non-Prediction - Gated - Limited

QoS in Different Standards						
EPON	IEEE 802.1p (Priority 0 - 7)					
GPON	TCONT1 - Fixed BW TCONT2 - Assured BW TCONT3 - Non-Assured BW TCONT4 - Best Effort BW					
LTE	GBR (2,4,3,5) Non-GBR (1,6,7,8,9)					
Wi-Fi	Wi-Fi Multimedia Extensions Wi-Fi Scheduled Multimedia					



