

Lecture 11: More Invariants

Aims:

- To discuss how to discover invariants;
- To see more examples of proofs that use invariants.

11.1 Hints for Discovering Invariants

- As mentioned in the previous lecture, finding invariants may require some ingenuity. In this lecture, we look at some rules of thumb that may help you.

11.2 Hint 1: Base the Invariant on the Postcondition

- Look at the postcondition of the **while** command.
If it's a conjunction, can you break it into two $Inv \wedge \neg B$?
- Suppose you have a program, *ProgA* below, which, it is claimed, computes $x \text{ div } y$ and $x \text{ mod } y$, i.e.

$$\langle x \geq 0 \wedge y > 0 \rangle \text{ProgA} \langle x = q \times y + r \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r < y \rangle$$

There are three conjuncts in the postcondition. So there are several ways to split it up. But we know the loop test is $r \geq y$. If we negate this, we get $r < y$. So this suggests that the rest of the postcondition is the invariant:

$$x = q \times y + r \wedge 0 \leq r$$

```

q := 0;
r := x;
while r ≥ y
{
    q := q + 1;
    r := r - y;
}

```

11.3 Hint 2: Replace a Constant by a Variable

- Take the postcondition, replace a constant by a variable and then split the postcondition into two as per Hint 1.
- In this example, a is an array of integers, indexed from 1 to n . We want to prove that

$$\vdash_{\text{par}} (0 < n) \text{Prog}B \left(s = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} a[i] \right)$$

where $\text{Prog}B$ is below.

To some of you, the invariant may be obvious anyway. But, in case it's not, let's see how Hint 2 helps us.

Here is an equivalent postcondition: $(s = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} a[i] \wedge k = n)$

This is now easily split into invariant

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} a[i]$$

and negation of loop test

$$k = n$$

Let's confirm this by completing the proof.

```
s := 0;
k := 0;
while k ≠ n
{
    k := k + 1;
    s := s + a[k]
}
```

11.4 Hint 3: Tracing

- The invariant can often be found by tracing the loop and inspecting the values of the variables.
- For example, let's trace the factorial program that we proved in the previous lecture. Here it is again for ease of reference:

```
(True)
y := 1;
z := 0;
while z ≠ x
{
    z := z + 1;
    y := y × z;
}
(y = x!)
```

We will set x to 6. The first two commands (prior to the loop) assign 1 to y and 0 to z .

Here's a trace of the loop in the factorial program:

B	iteration	x	y	z
—	—	6	1	0
true	1	6	1	1
true	2	6	2	2
true	3	6	6	3
true	4	6	24	4
true	5	6	120	5
true	6	6	720	6
false	—	—	—	—

We see that $y = z!$

11.5 Hint 4: Do Some Quick Checks

- When you have guessed an invariant, if possible, quickly check that you think that the proofs will go through.
 - Is the invariant *strong enough* that, when conjoined with the negation of the loop-test, it will imply the postcondition of the **while** command?
 - Is the invariant *weak enough* that it will be implied by the precondition of the **while** command?

Acknowledgements

I continue to base material on that in Chapter 4 of [\[HR00\]](#).

References

- [HR00] M. Huth and M. Ryan. *Logic in Computer Science: Modelling and Reasoning about Systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.